	1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &	CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB NO.		
	2	SULLIVAN, LLP John B. Quinn (Bar No. 90378)	136411) cmorrow@fenwick.com		
	3	865 S Figueroa St 10th Floor	VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI (CSB NO. 168633)		
	4	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000	vdemarchi@fenwick.com HECTOR J. RIBERA (CSB NO. 221511)		
	5	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 Email: johnquinn@quinnemanuel.com	hribera@fenwick.com RAVI RANGANATH (CSB NO. 272981) rranganath@fenwick.com		
	6		YIXIN ZHANG (CSB No. 270527)		
	7	David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758) Melissa J. Baily (Bar No. 237649) Carl G. Anderson (Bar No. 239927)	yzhang@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP Silicon Valley Center		
	8	50 California Street, 22nd Floor	801 California Street		
	9	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875 6600	Mountain View, California 94041 Telephone: (650) 988-8500		
	10	Facsimile: (415) 875 6700 Email: davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com	Facsimile: (650) 938-5200		
		melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com	Attorneys for Defendants		
	11	carlanderson@quinnemanuel.com	LSI Corporation and Agere Systems Inc.		
١,	12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Barnes & Noble, Inc. and	8		
LAW EW	13	barnesandnoble.com llc			
ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
FENWICK & WEST LLF ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
ii i	16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
	17				
	18	BARNES & NOBLE, INC. and BARNESANDNOBLE.COM LLC,	Case No. 11-cv-02709 EMC		
	19		JOINT STIPULATION AND		
	20	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SECOND AMENDMENT TO JANUARY		
	21	v.	31, 2012 CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER		
		LSI CORPORATION and	Trial Date: None set		
	22	AGERE SYSTEMS INC.,			
	23	Defendants.			
	24		_		
	25	Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiffs Barnes & Noble, Inc. and barnesandnoble.com llc			
	26	("Plaintiffs") and Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems Inc. ("Defendants")			
	27	(collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, stipulate as recited			
	28	below and jointly request that the Court amend the current case management schedules			
		STIPULATION REGARDING TIME FOR	CASE NO.: 11-CV-02709 EMC		

PATENT LOCAL RULE DISCLOSURES

below.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated January 31, 2012 [Dkt. No. 84], issued a Case Management Order setting forth the case schedule through claim construction;

WHEREAS, the Court, by Order dated March 26, 2012 [Dkt. No. 92], amended that schedule;

WHEREAS, at the Further Case Management Conference on June 19, 2012 [Dkt. No. 119], the Court directed the parties to submit a joint stipulation with a revised case schedule incorporating deadlines for Plaintiffs to respond to Defendants' Interrogatory Nos. 9, 13, 15, and 16 and for Defendants to respond to Plaintiffs' Patent Local Rule 3-3 disclosures;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have agreed to serve substantive responses to Defendants' Interrogatory Nos. 9, 13, 15 and 16 on or before September 24, 2012, the date they are due to serve their invalidity contentions and related disclosures pursuant to Patent Local Rules 3-3 and 3-4;

WHEREAS, Defendants have agreed to provide on or before November 5, 2012 a substantive response to each of Plaintiffs' invalidity contentions, including but not limited to an explanation of why Defendants contend that the prior art cited in Plaintiffs' invalidity contentions does not render each asserted claim anticipated or obvious;

WHEREAS, at the Further Case Management Conference on June 19, 2012 [Dkt. No. 119], the parties and the Court agreed that material presented at the technology tutorial would not be admissible for any purpose or be used during cross-examination;

THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE that, in view of the foregoing, material presented at the technology tutorial shall not be admissible for any purpose or be used during cross examination, and the case schedule shall be modified as set forth below:

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

Event	Proposed Date	
Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions and Accompanying Document Production	7/23/12	
Disclosure of Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Document Production	9/24/12	
Plaintiffs to Provide Substantive Responses to Defendants' Interrogatory Nos. 9, 13, 15 and 16	9/24/12	
Exchange Proposed Terms and Claim Elements for Construction	10/25/12	
Defendants to Provide Substantive Responses to Plaintiffs' Invalidity Contentions	11/5/12	
Exchange Preliminary Claim Constructions and Supporting References	11/15/12	
File Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement	12/13/12	
ADR Deadline	12/21/12	
Completion of Claim Construction Discovery	1/10/13	
Serve and File Opening Claim Construction Brief	1/24/13	
Serve and File Claim Construction Response Brief	2/7/13	
Serve and File Claim Construction Reply Brief	2/14/13	
Serve and File Claim Construction Sur-Reply Brief	2/21/13	
Tutorial (subject to the Court's availability)	2/25/13 & 2/26/13	2:30-4:30
Claim Construction Hearing (subject to the Court's availability)	3/11/13, 3/12/13 & 3/13/13	9:30-4:30 9:30-1:30

CASE NO.: 11-CV-02709 EMC

## 

		Case3:11-cv-02709-EMC Document123	Filed07/23/12 Page4 of 5
	1	Dated: July 19, 2012	FENWICK & WEST LLP
	2		
	3		By: /s/ Ravi Ranganath Ravi Ranganath
	4		Attorneys for Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems Inc.
	5	Dated: July 19, 2012	
	6	Dated. July 19, 2012	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
	7		
	8		By: /s/ Carl G. Anderson
	9		Carl G. Anderson Attorneys for Plaintiffs
	10		Barnes & Noble, Inc. and barnesandnoble.com llc
	11		
_	12		
FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys at Law Mountain View	13		
	14		
	15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
-	16	STATES DISTRICT COL	
	17		
	18	The Honorable Edward M. Ch. United States Di  IT IS SO ORDERED  ODIFIED	
	19		
	20	Z God M. Chen	
	21	Judge Edward A.	
	22		
	23	DISTRICT OF CE	
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		

## FENWICK & WEST LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW

## **ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45**

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.

Dated: July 19, 2012 FENWICK & WEST LLP

By: /s/ Ravi Ranganath
Ravi Ranganath

Attorneys for Defendants LSI Corporation and Agere Systems Inc.

CASE NO.: 11-CV-02709 EMC